UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,578	10/31/2003	Masaaki Kurebayashi	16869P-097100US	1657
	7590 05/29/200 AND TOWNSEND AN	EXAMINER		
TWO EMBAR	CADERO CENTER	ALUNKAL, THOMAS D		
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/698,578	KUREBAYASHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	THOMAS D. ALUNKAL	2627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Fee</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3-7,9,12,18 and 20-23 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) 12,18 and 20-23 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-7 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original of the correction of the original of the correction of the original of the correction of the original	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/698,578 Page 2

Art Unit: 2627

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments see Remarks, filed 2/6/08, with respect to claims 12, 18, and 20-23 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous grounds of rejection have been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 3 recites the limitations "for said highest write speed", "for said lowest write speed", and "for said middle write speed between said highest and lowest write speeds" which are all provided in parentheses. It is unclear if the claim is further limited by these limitations because of their placement in parentheses. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 4-7 are also objected due to their dependence from claim 3 and intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wu (US 6,535,470).

Regarding claim 9, Wu discloses a method for controlling a writing waveform on an optical disk in a system which uses a DVD-ROM as a recording medium and performs CAV writing on said DVD-RAM from an inner circumference at 2X to an outer circumference at 5X (Figure 13 and Column 10, lines 24-34), said method using: a writing waveform parameter for 5X as a first parameter (Column 10, lines 24-34, nominal value 5X); a writing waveform parameter for 2X as a second parameter; Column 10, lines 24-34, 2X); and a recommended writing waveform parameter for 3X written on said disk as a third parameter (Column 10, lines 24-34, nominal value 3X. It is noted that in the context of the claim, the time when a parameter for 3X is "written on said disk" is not specified.).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12, 18, and 20-23 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art taken either singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of the independent claims 12, 18, 21, 22, and 23.

Regarding claim 12, the prior art taken either singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest a read/write apparatus comprising: means for reading and analyzing parameters on a disk; means for analyzing said parameters and determining interpolation parameters; means for performing a trial write operation to obtain a

parameter for a highest speed; means for performing a test write operation to obtain a parameter for a lowest speed; and means for, based on said parameters obtained through said test write operations and a third parameter for a middle speed written on said disk, determining parameters for all possible speeds.

Regarding claim 18, the prior art taken either singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest a method for writing information to an optical disk by irradiating laser light thereto, said optical disk storing: a first writing waveform parameter for a first write speed; a second writing waveform parameter for a second write speed higher than said first write speed; and a third writing parameter for third write speed higher than said second write speed; said method comprising the steps of: writing information at said second writing speed by use of a fourth writing waveform parameter obtained as a result of converting said second writing parameter; writing information at said first write speed by use of a fifth writing waveform parameter obtained as a result of a trial write operation at said first write speed; writing information at said third write speed by use of a sixth writing waveform parameter obtained as a result of a test write operation at said third write speed; and writing information at said second write speed by use of a writing waveform parameter obtained as a result of approximating said fourth writing waveform parameter.

Regarding claims 21-23, these claims have been amended as recommended by the Examiner and contain the allowable subject matter specified in the Office action dated 9/10/07.

Dependent claim 20 is allowed with their respective base claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yokoi (2002/0085470) discloses an optical information recorder employing an improved recording power control scheme. Yokoi (US 6,459,666) discloses an information recording apparatus and method. Fukumoto (US PgPub 2003/0086346) discloses an optical disk device. Sato (US 6,563,775) discloses an optical disc unit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to THOMAS D. ALUNKAL whose telephone number is (571)270-1127. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on (571)272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,578 Page 6

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thomas D Alunkal/ Examiner, Art Unit 2627

/Wayne Young/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627